

Pros and Cons of using ChatGPT in scientific writing: as it identifies for itself

The question is in the mind of scientists aware of the uses of artificial intelligence in scientific writing. There are many queries – should the bots trained in language processing be allowed in scientific writing? If not allowed, how to control their intended or unintended uses? If permitted, should they be credited with authorship or just by acknowledgement? Will they also be part of the scientific award or recognition if given authorship? If accredited at all, who will be the recipient of laurels – the creations or the creators?

To start with, identifying the advantages and disadvantages of using artificial intelligence in scientific writing is necessary. In this process, I thought the bot to ask the same question, and I did so using ChatGPT. The results are here.

ChatGPT is a powerful language model that can generate human-like text in response to a given prompt. With its advanced natural language processing capabilities, ChatGPT has the potential to revolutionize scientific writing by helping researchers and scientists in various aspects of their work. Here, I have prompted ChatGPT to identify the possible applications of ChatGPT in scientific writing. The ChatGPT itself generated the following points.

Advantages of using ChatGPT in scientific writing

- **Idea Generation:** ChatGPT can be used to generate new ideas for scientific research. Researchers can input a topic of interest, and ChatGPT can create a range of concepts and suggestions for research projects. This can be especially useful for researchers looking to branch out into new areas of study or struggling to come up with fresh ideas for their work.
- **Content Writing:** ChatGPT can help researchers write scientific materials by providing structure, style, and content suggestions. By inputting their research's essential findings and results, researchers can receive recommendations for organizing their write-up, which sections to include, and how to present their findings most effectively. ChatGPT can also generate language suitable for scientific writing, helping researchers avoid standard grammar and style mistakes.
- **Abstract and Introduction Writing:** ChatGPT can generate abstracts and introductions to scientific papers based on keywords and brief research topic descriptions. This can help researchers to save time by quickly generating high-quality abstracts and introductions.
- **Literature Review:** It is an essential part of scientific writing that requires extensive research and analysis of previously published works. One of the most time-consuming tasks in scientific writing is conducting a thorough literature

review. ChatGPT can assist researchers by generating summaries of scientific articles and providing insights into the main findings and key concepts. The process can save significant time and effort, allowing researchers to focus on their work rather than spend hours poring over literature.

- **Data Analysis:** ChatGPT can help in data analysis by generating reports and summaries of research findings. Researchers can input their data into ChatGPT, which can then generate statistical summaries, graphs, and charts.
- **Discussion and Conclusion:** ChatGPT can assist in generating the discussion and conclusion sections of scientific papers. These sections require an analysis of the research findings and their interpretation. ChatGPT can help researchers by developing potential implications of their research findings and suggesting future research directions.
- **Manuscript Editing:** ChatGPT can also help in manuscript editing by suggesting grammar, syntax, and style improvements. Likely improved quality of scientific writing in this way can make it easier for readers to understand the research findings.

Disadvantages of using ChatGPT in scientific writing

- **Lack of Expertise:** ChatGPT is not an expert in any scientific field and can only generate content based on the text data used to train it. Therefore, it may not be able to provide accurate or reliable information, especially in complex scientific fields.
- **Lack of Context:** ChatGPT may not have access to the context of the proposed research and the specific details of the relevant experiment(s), leading to inaccuracies in the generated content.
- **Risk of Plagiarism:** ChatGPT is trained on a massive corpus of text data, which includes previously published research papers. Therefore, it may result in the generation of content that is similar to previously published. Researchers may inadvertently plagiarize content generated by ChatGPT, as the model can generate text that resembles human writing; however, it may lack novelty. Hence, ensuring that the generated content is original and properly cited is essential.
- **Misinterpretation:** ChatGPT may misinterpret the research question or topic, leading to inaccuracies in the generated content. Researchers must ensure that the input data provided to ChatGPT is clear and precise.
- **Technical Limitations:** ChatGPT may not be able to handle technical terminology and jargon specific to scientific fields. Researchers must ensure that the generated content

is accurate and understandable.

- **Lack of Personal Views:** ChatGPT-generated content may lack the researcher's voice and views, making it difficult to connect the researcher with the prospective reader.
- **Overreliance:** Researchers may become over-reliant on ChatGPT for generating scientific content, which can lead to a lack of critical thinking and originality in scientific writing.
- **Lack of Domain-Specific Knowledge:** ChatGPT is a general-purpose language model that is not specifically designed for scientific writing. It lacks domain-specific knowledge, which is essential for writing scientific papers. Consequently, the credibility of the research presented may be affected because of incorrect or irrelevant content.
- **Limited Control Over the Generated Text:** ChatGPT generates text based on the input and training data. Researchers have limited control over the generated text and cannot guarantee the accuracy and relevance of the content. This may result in the generation of biased or misleading content, which can affect the credibility of the research.

- **Difficulty in Ensuring Consistency and Coherence:** Scientific writing requires high consistency and coherence. ChatGPT may generate text that is inconsistent or lacks coherence, which can affect the readability and comprehension of the research paper.
- **Inability to Understand Complex Sentence Structures:** Scientific writing often involves complex sentence structures and technical jargon. ChatGPT may not be able to understand these structures and generate text that is difficult to understand or misleading.

In conclusion, ChatGPT has the potential to revolutionize scientific writing by assisting researchers in generating high-quality content efficiently. While ChatGPT cannot replace the expertise of researchers, it can help save time and effort in scientific writing. On the other hand, researchers should be aware of the potential problems of using ChatGPT in scientific writing and use the generated content as a tool rather than replacing their expertise and critical thinking.

This is only the beginning of a new era in scientific writing. Researchers need to record and present their research works. It is worth looking at how the researchers respond to this new development. Happy writing.

Executive Editor

Prasunpriya Nayak

Department of Physiology,
All India Institute of Medical Sciences,
Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India